

# U.S. Value Equity Trust - Multi-Class

As of March 31, 2026



T. Rowe Price

## Portfolio Highlights

The portfolio outperformed the Russell 1000 Value Index for the three-month period ended March 31, 2026.

Relative performance drivers (versus the Russell 1000 Value Index):

- + Information Technology (stock selection)
- + Energy (overweight allocation and stock selection)
- Consumer Staples (stock selection and underweight allocation)
- Communication Services (stock selection)

Additional details:

- During the quarter, we remained consistent in our approach, focusing on companies we believe combine quality and valuation while maintaining an awareness of downside risks.
- The war in Iran has complicated our outlook for 2026, and we believe its implications for the physical commodities market are being understated. Despite near-term concerns, we believe our portfolio is well positioned for the long run and that the secular setup is supportive of value investing as we see inflationary pressures from increased infrastructure investment, deglobalization, and higher commodity prices. Against this backdrop, we expect valuations to play a larger role in future investment returns, which should ease some of the pressures facing value investing.

## Trust Information

Inception Date of Trust	January 13, 2012
Benchmark	Russell 1000 Value Index
Total Trust Assets	\$42,904,529,963
Percent of Portfolio in Cash	1.7%

## Performance (%) (NAV, total return performance > 1 year is annualized)

	Class Inception Date	Trustee Fee <sup>(1)</sup>	Since Inception					
			3m	1yr	3yrs	5yrs	10yrs	Since Inception
U.S. Value Equity Trust (Class D)	Mar 26 2012	0.52	4.32	11.38	14.97	9.25	11.24	12.25
U.S. Value Equity Trust (Class B)	Jun 28 2019	0.45	4.34	11.47	15.06	9.33	11.33	12.36
U.S. Value Equity Trust (Class C)	Jul 01 2014	0.40	4.36	11.53	15.11	9.38	11.38	12.41
U.S. Value Equity Trust (Class E)	Jan 25 2024	0.35	4.37	11.60	15.18	9.47	11.52	12.55
U.S. Value Equity Trust (Class Z)	Jan 13 2012	N/A	4.46	11.97	15.57	9.85	11.90	12.95
Russell 1000 Value Index			2.10	15.87	14.31	9.43	10.58	11.31

### Past performance is not a guarantee or a reliable indicator of future results.

Performance figures for Class Z do not include the deduction of trustee fees, which are used primarily to pay normal operating expenses, including custodial, accounting and investment management fees. The Trust's returns would have been lower if these fees were deducted. Performance figures for other classes reflect the deduction of the applicable annual trustee fee. Figures include any changes in principal value and reinvested dividends.

When assessing performance, investors should consider both short-term and long-term returns.

The offering circular contains complete information on the Trust's objective, investment program, and other information, and plan sponsors should read it carefully before deciding to include the Trust as an investment option for plan participants.

<sup>(1)</sup>Trustee Fee is equivalent to the annual operating expense ratio.

For any class that inceptioned after the inception date shown above for the Trust generally, net of fees performance for periods prior to inception of such class (and, if applicable, for periods when such class was subsequently unfunded) was calculated using the historical gross returns of the Trust and then deducting the applicable fee.

**Risks: Value investing:** The trust's value approach to investing could cause it to underperform other stock trusts that employ a different investment style. **Large-cap stocks:** Securities issued by large-cap companies tend to be less volatile than securities issued by small- and mid-cap companies. However, large-cap companies may not be able to attain the high growth rates of successful small- and mid-cap companies, especially during strong economic periods, and may be unable to respond as quickly to competitive challenges. See the offering circular for more detail on the trust's principal risks.

See the GIPS® Composite Report located in this material for additional information.

## Calendar Year Performance (%) (NAV, total return)

	Class		2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025
	Inception Date	Trustee Fee <sup>(2)</sup>										
U.S. Value Equity Trust (Class D)	Mar 26 2012	0.52	11.19	18.96	-9.32	26.25	10.53	29.89	-11.28	12.31	15.03	12.34
U.S. Value Equity Trust (Class B)	Jun 28 2019	0.45	11.24	19.10	-9.25	26.37	10.65	29.99	-11.21	12.37	15.11	12.43
U.S. Value Equity Trust (Class C)	Jul 01 2014	0.40	11.34	19.15	-9.22	26.37	10.69	30.08	-11.22	12.43	15.19	12.47
U.S. Value Equity Trust (Class E)	Jan 25 2024	0.35	11.49	19.31	-9.06	26.60	10.89	30.25	-11.09	12.51	15.23	12.54
U.S. Value Equity Trust (Class Z)	Jan 13 2012	N/A	11.88	19.71	-8.71	27.04	11.26	30.71	-10.81	12.89	15.65	12.92
Russell 1000 Value Index			17.34	13.66	-8.27	26.54	2.80	25.16	-7.54	11.46	14.37	15.91

**Past performance is not a guarantee or a reliable indicator of future results.**

Performance figures for Class Z do not include the deduction of trustee fees, which are used primarily to pay normal operating expenses, including custodial, accounting and investment management fees. The Trust's returns would have been lower if these fees were deducted. Performance figures for other classes reflect the deduction of the applicable annual trustee fee. Figures include any changes in principal value and reinvested dividends.

When assessing performance, investors should consider both short-term and long-term returns.

The offering circular contains complete information on the Trust's objective, investment program, and other information, and plan sponsors should read it carefully before deciding to include the Trust as an investment option for plan participants.

<sup>(2)</sup>Trustee Fee is equivalent to the annual operating expense ratio.

For any class that inceptioned after the inception date shown above for the Trust generally, net of fees performance for periods prior to inception of such class (and, if applicable, for periods when such class was subsequently unfunded) was calculated using the historical gross returns of the Trust and then deducting the applicable fee.

See the GIPS® Composite Report located in this material for additional information.

## Performance Review

### Value Stocks Outperformed Amid Volatility and Moderating Valuations

U.S. equity markets were volatile in the first quarter of 2026, as early optimism around economic strength gave way to heightened geopolitical uncertainty stemming from the Iran conflict, which contributed to sharp swings in sentiment and asset prices. The S&P 500 Index declined over the period, although a strong rally at quarter-end provided partial relief. Against this backdrop, value stocks outperformed growth meaningfully, as higher-multiple segments came under pressure amid rising oil prices and renewed inflation concerns. This was reflected in the Russell 1000 Value Index, which generated positive returns for the period in contrast to broader market declines. Earnings remained resilient, with consensus expectations pointing to continued solid growth for S&P 500 Index companies, reflecting stable underlying corporate fundamentals despite macroeconomic uncertainty. At the same time, forward valuations moderated from elevated levels during the quarter, though they remained above longer-term norms. Overall, market dynamics reflected a shift in leadership across styles within a more volatile and uncertain environment.

## Relative Contributors

### Information Technology (stock selection)

- **Keysight Technologies:** Shares of Keysight Technologies surged following a quarterly earnings report in which total revenue beat expectations, supported by robust organic orders growth and strong performance in its Communications Solutions Group and its Electronic Industrial Solutions Group. Management's guidance for quarterly sales and earnings also outpaced expectations, citing strong momentum from artificial intelligence infrastructure and next-generation connectivity, reinforcing investor expectations for continued growth. We appreciate Keysight Technologies' dominant position in electronic test and measurement, which provides a unique opportunity to benefit from growing innovation across many industries.
- **Lam Research:** Shares of Lam Research advanced, supported by broad strength in semiconductor demand. The company reported results that modestly surpassed expectations and issued a constructive outlook, citing demand across memory and advanced foundry and logic, which drove positive investor sentiment. We believe Lam Research offers attractive long-term growth potential, driven by industry innovation in transistor structures, advanced packaging, and materials-driven innovation. Despite increased competition from China, we feel the company is well positioned for market share gains and robust earnings growth.

### Energy (overweight allocation and stock selection)

- **Valero Energy:** Shares of Valero Energy rose following strong quarterly results, as the company beat profit expectations on stronger refining margins and higher throughput volumes. Investor sentiment was also supported by capital returns, including a dividend increase and continued share repurchases. Later in the quarter, tighter refined-product markets, particularly in diesel, further supported refining margins and expectations for higher earnings power. In our view, Valero Energy's low-cost, high-quality assets and through-cycle profitability support sustained earnings growth, while its reasonable valuation positions shares to outperform within the energy sector over the long term.
- **TechnipFMC:** Shares of TechnipFMC advanced after the company reported solid earnings results and raised guidance for the coming year, reflecting strong visibility in its subsea business. Performance was further supported by rising oil prices and increased confidence in the durability of offshore investment. We believe that TechnipFMC is positioned to benefit from a recovery in offshore spending, driving margin improvement and accelerating cash flow generation.

## Relative Detractors

### Consumer Staples (stock selection and underweight allocation)

- **Dollar Tree:** Shares of Dollar Tree declined, as investors weighed concerns around traffic trends and the impact of rising energy costs on consumer spending. Although the company reported better-than-feared earnings results, comparable sales were driven by higher average ticket amid declining traffic, reinforcing questions around the sustainability of recent growth. Management also maintained a cautious outlook for 2026, citing potential freight and other cost pressures, which limited upside to sentiment. Despite these pressures, we continue to believe that Dollar Tree offers an attractive risk/reward profile given its multi-price point initiative, improving value proposition, and store renovations and expansions.
- **Walmart:** We exited the portfolio's position in Walmart based on valuation concerns. Following our exit from the name, shares advanced as the company later reported solid operating results, driven by growth in U.S. comparable sales, e-commerce, and advertising revenue.

### Communication Services (stock selection)

- **Verizon Communications:** Our lack of exposure to Verizon Communications detracted from relative results, as the company's shares rose after it reported quarterly results that included better-than-expected subscriber growth and provided a constructive 2026 framework for free cash flow, capital expenditures, and capital returns. We do not invest in Verizon Communications as we continue to favor other ideas in the space that we believe offer more compelling risk/reward characteristics.
- **Pinterest:** Shares of Pinterest fell after the company reported disappointing results as revenue growth decelerated more than expected and margin expansion paused, with management citing pressure on large retailer advertisers as a key driver. This was accompanied by a weaker-than-expected outlook, which raised concerns about the durability of advertising demand. We exited the position toward the end of the period.

## Portfolio Positioning And Activity

We see a wide range of potential outcomes in the current environment, including a narrow market similar to the bull market in 2022, a cyclical recovery, and a risk-off backdrop with stagflationary concerns. Given these divergent scenarios, we leaned on our quality and valuation discipline during the period, maintaining a keen awareness of downside risks, and stayed mindful of factor exposures to help ensure stock selection continues to be the primary driver of performance across varying market environments. Overall, our goal is to balance risk/reward characteristics and position the portfolio to navigate continued uncertainty.

## Significant Purchases

- **Advanced Micro Devices:** We purchased shares of Advanced Micro Devices, a semiconductor company focused on high-performance computing and data center processors, which we believe is positioned for significant data center-driven growth, with upcoming product deployments and increasing server processor demand supporting further market share gains. In our view, the market underappreciates this potential.
- **Philip Morris International:** We bought shares of global tobacco company Philip Morris International (PM), as we believe its defensive characteristics and resilient earnings profile offer attractive positioning amid a more uncertain macroeconomic environment. We are also constructive on PM's strategic shift toward a smoke-free portfolio, which should continue to pay off in volume growth and improved margins.

- **Abbott Laboratories:** We purchased shares of diversified health care company Abbott Laboratories, as we believe recent dislocation following earnings revisions created an attractive entry point in the stock. We believe Abbott Laboratories can reaccelerate organic revenue and earnings growth, supported by strength across key medical device and pharmaceutical segments. In our view, its diversified business mix and strong balance sheet position the company as a defensive compounder with consistent margin expansion.
- **CVS Health:** We bought shares of health care solutions company CVS Health, as we believe it offers asymmetric upside from a depressed earnings base, supported by prospects for margin recovery in its Aetna business and improving Medicare Advantage reimbursement. In our view, newer management, activist involvement, and stabilization in pharmacy support a path toward normalized margins.

#### Significant Sales

- **XCEL Energy:** We sold shares of electric and natural gas utility XCEL Energy to modify our position after solid ongoing relative performance. However, we continue to view XCEL Energy's earnings and dividend growth as supported by ongoing investment in fleet transition, grid hardening, and transmission expansion. In our view, the company's experienced management team and solid balance sheet position the company to manage wildfire-related liabilities.
- **GE Aerospace:** We sold shares of aviation business GE Aerospace and reallocated the proceeds to opportunities with more company-specific growth drivers, reducing exposure to businesses more sensitive to macroeconomic and energy-related cycles.
- **Texas Instruments:** We trimmed shares of Texas Instruments, a market leader in analog and mixed signal semiconductors, to manage position size and reduce cyclical exposure amid increased macroeconomic uncertainty. We continue to expect the semiconductor company's competitive advantages to drive substantial free cash flow growth over the next several years, despite near-term margin and cash flow pressures due to significant capital expenditures.
- **Parker Hannifin:** We sold shares of diversified industrial company Parker Hannifin as part of our portfolio positioning efforts following solid performance. We continue to believe the company combines reliable execution and self-improvement with exposure to recovering industrial trends and underappreciated European stimulus, while significant excess cash supports future growth.

#### Manager's Outlook


The war in Iran has complicated our outlook for 2026. We believe the implications of the war on the physical commodities market are being understated by the equity market. Although the outcome and duration of this conflict are unknowable, we have already seen a lack of feedstock for key refined products lead to demand destruction in parts of the world through canceled flights, work-from-home directives, and reduced mass transit fees. While markets will adjust and a resolution will eventually provide relief, the duration of the conflict has already been sufficient to impact economic activity, and each additional day further impacts the global economy. These developments call into question our expectations coming into the year for a broadening of the market and for improvement in U.S. manufacturing after years of contraction. We have therefore taken steps to reduce the portfolio's exposure to companies with exposure to higher fuel prices and to increase the defensiveness of the portfolio at the margins.

Despite near-term concerns, we believe our portfolio is well positioned for the long run. We continue to believe the secular setup is supportive of value investing as we see inflationary pressures from increased infrastructure investment, deglobalization, and higher commodity prices. The lack of a supply response by U.S. shale companies, despite higher oil prices driven by the war, lends credence to our view that the cost curve for oil is moving higher due to a dearth of low-cost shale oil. At the same time, we believe the investment case for technology is changing, driven by competitive pressures in the cloud space, disruption risk from artificial intelligence, and the maturation of key industries—such as digital advertising and software—as a service—that have fueled strong returns over the past decade. Against this backdrop, we expect valuations to play a larger role in future investment returns, which should ease some of the pressures facing value investing.

We will continue to focus our efforts on identifying companies with attractive long-term fundamentals that are trading below their intrinsic value while balancing the risks of the portfolio. We believe this approach will help us navigate current uncertainty and take advantage of near-term mispricing for the benefit of our clients.

Quarterly Attribution

Sector Attribution Data: Trust vs Russell 1000 Value Index (3 months ended March 31, 2026) (%)



	Total	Info Tech	Energy	Indust & Bus Svcs	Financials	Health Care	Consumer Disc	Real Estate	Utilities	Materials	Comm Svcs	Consumer Staples
Over/Under Weight	N/A	-0.91	2.54	1.40	-0.89	0.97	-0.38	-0.59	-1.20	-0.18	-0.96	-1.46
Trust Performance	4.41	12.29	41.21	9.50	-5.89	-1.82	-4.52	2.09	9.96	7.05	-8.28	2.31
Index Performance	2.10	4.56	38.12	5.86	-8.03	-2.24	-4.48	2.03	9.00	10.60	-3.97	6.65
Sector Allocation Effect	0.79	0.07	0.69	0.10	0.07	-0.02	0.00	-0.01	-0.12	0.00	0.08	-0.10
Stock Selection Effect	1.52	0.89	0.19	0.60	0.47	0.04	0.02	-0.01	0.04	-0.16	-0.34	-0.21
Total Effect	2.31	0.96	0.88	0.70	0.54	0.02	0.02	-0.01	-0.08	-0.16	-0.25	-0.31

Top 5 Relative Contributors vs. Russell 1000 Value Index (3 Months ended March 31, 2026)

Security	% of Equities	Net Contribution (bps)
Keysight Technologies, Inc.	3.2	98
Conocophillips	2.3	57
Deere & Company	2.6	44
Technipfmc Plc	1.1	37
Valero Energy Corporation	1.0	36

Top 5 Relative Detractors vs. Russell 1000 Value Index (3 Months ended March 31, 2026)

Security	% of Equities	Net Contribution (bps)
Exxon Mobil Corporation	1.0	-41
Booking Holdings Inc.	1.2	-20
Micron Technology, Inc.	0.0	-19
American Express Company	1.1	-18
Sandisk Corporation	0.0	-18


Net contribution is calculated versus a specific benchmark. It is the difference between the security's absolute contribution to the portfolio and the security's absolute contribution to the benchmark. This reflects the amount the security has impacted relative return.

**Past performance is not a guarantee or a reliable indicator of future results.** Analysis represents the total performance of the portfolio as calculated by the FactSet attribution model and is inclusive of other assets. Non-equity positions are excluded from structure shown. Returns will not match official T. Rowe Price performance because FactSet uses different exchange rate sources and does not capture intra-day trading. Performance for each security is obtained in the local currency and, if necessary, is converted to USD using an exchange rate determined by an independent third party. Figures are shown with gross dividends reinvested.

Sources: Financial data and analytics provider FactSet. Copyright 2026 FactSet. All Rights Reserved. MSCI/S&P GICS Sectors; Analysis by T. Rowe Price. Figures are shown gross of fees. Returns would be lower as a result of the deduction of such fees.

12-Month Attribution

Sector Attribution Data: Trust vs Russell 1000 Value Index (12 months ended March 31, 2026) (%)



	Total	Energy	Real Estate	Indust & Svcs	Info Tech	Comm Svcs	Financials	Utilities	Consumer Disc	Consumer Staples	Health Care	Materials
Over/Under Weight	N/A	2.54	-0.59	1.40	-0.91	-0.96	-0.89	-1.20	-0.38	-1.46	0.97	-0.18
Trust Performance	11.92	43.80	2.22	29.27	40.97	19.22	4.83	10.78	-4.11	-6.39	-6.36	-2.04
Index Performance	15.87	37.39	2.63	30.35	40.81	20.98	5.63	18.80	6.22	6.85	1.94	23.88
Sector Allocation Effect	0.33	0.45	0.21	0.19	-0.28	-0.15	0.03	-0.05	0.10	0.10	-0.13	-0.04
Stock Selection Effect	-4.29	0.42	-0.01	-0.13	0.31	0.01	-0.35	-0.34	-0.72	-1.01	-1.11	-1.36
Total Effect	-3.95	0.88	0.19	0.06	0.03	-0.14	-0.32	-0.39	-0.62	-0.90	-1.23	-1.40

Top 5 Relative Contributors vs. Russell 1000 Value Index (12 Months ended March 31, 2026)

Security	% of Equities	Net Contribution (bps)
Keysight Technologies, Inc.	3.2	175
Technipfmc Plc	1.1	63
Valero Energy Corporation	1.0	59
Westinghouse Air Brake Technologies Corporation	2.0	56
Conocophillips	2.3	55

Top 5 Relative Detractors vs. Russell 1000 Value Index (12 Months ended March 31, 2026)

Security	% of Equities	Net Contribution (bps)
Micron Technology, Inc.	0.0	-108
Kenvue Inc.	0.0	-57
Exxon Mobil Corporation	1.0	-56
Johnson & Johnson	1.6	-49
International Paper Company	0.8	-46

Net contribution is calculated versus a specific benchmark. It is the difference between the security's absolute contribution to the portfolio and the security's absolute contribution to the benchmark. This reflects the amount the security has impacted relative return.

**Past performance is not a guarantee or a reliable indicator of future results.** Analysis represents the total performance of the portfolio as calculated by the FactSet attribution model and is inclusive of other assets. Non-equity positions are excluded from structure shown. Returns will not match official T. Rowe Price performance because FactSet uses different exchange rate sources and does not capture intra-day trading. Performance for each security is obtained in the local currency and, if necessary, is converted to USD using an exchange rate determined by an independent third party. Figures are shown with gross dividends reinvested.

Sources: Financial data and analytics provider FactSet. Copyright 2026 FactSet. All Rights Reserved. MSCI/S&P GICS Sectors; Analysis by T. Rowe Price. Figures are shown gross of fees. Returns would be lower as a result of the deduction of such fees.

## Portfolio Positioning

## Sector Diversification - Changes Over Time vs. Russell 1000 Value Index (%)

Sector	Trust 3/31/25	Trust 12/31/25	Trust 3/31/26	Benchmark 3/31/26
Financials	23.2	21.7	19.1	20.0
Indust & Bus Svcs	15.4	15.9	14.8	13.5
Health Care	15.3	11.9	12.7	11.7
Info Tech	6.5	12.4	10.8	11.7
Energy	9.2	7.7	10.2	7.7
Comm Svcs	1.6	6.9	7.0	8.0
Cons Disc	6.3	7.1	6.6	7.0
Cons Stpls	8.5	5.1	6.1	7.5
Materials	6.4	4.1	4.2	4.4
Utilities	5.0	4.0	3.5	4.7
Real Estate	2.3	2.9	3.4	4.0

## Largest Purchases

Issuer	Sector	% of Trust 3/31/26	% of Trust 12/31/25
Advanced Micro Devices (N)	Info Tech	1.0	0.0
Philip Morris International (N)	Consumer Staples	1.0	0.0
Abbott Laboratories	Health Care	1.4	0.9
CVS Health (N)	Health Care	0.7	0.0
Constellation Energy (N)	Utilities	0.6	0.0
Netflix (N)	Comm Svcs	0.7	0.0
Booking Holdings	Consumer Discretionary	1.2	0.8
Johnson & Johnson	Health Care	1.6	0.8
Tractor Supply	Consumer Discretionary	0.6	0.1
Public Storage	Real Estate	0.9	0.4

## Largest Sales

Issuer	Sector	% of Trust 3/31/26	% of Trust 12/31/25
XCEL Energy	Utilities	0.1	1.1
GE (E)	Indust & Bus Svcs	0.0	0.9
Texas Instruments	Info Tech	1.0	1.7
Parker-Hannifin	Indust & Bus Svcs	0.9	1.7
TE Connectivity (E)	Info Tech	0.0	0.7
Amazon.com	Consumer Discretionary	0.5	1.3
International Paper	Materials	0.8	1.5
Elevance Health	Health Care	0.4	1.0
PPG Industries (E)	Materials	0.0	0.4
Arista Networks	Info Tech	0.6	1.2

(N) New Position

(E) Eliminated

A purchase or sale that occurred as a result of a corporate action where the Portfolio Manager had no discretion, if any, will not be displayed. Securities are shown in order by their total net cost and proceed values. Net is defined as total cost of purchases less total proceeds of sales.

## Holdings

## Top 10 Issuers

Issuer	Industry	% of Trust	% of Russell 1000 Value Index
Alphabet	Interactive Media & Services	3.9	3.5
JPMorgan Chase	Banks	3.2	2.6
Keysight Technologies	Electronic Equip, Instr & Cmpts	3.2	0.2
Berkshire Hathaway CL A	Financial Services	2.9	2.9
Deere	Machinery	2.6	0.5
ConocoPhillips	Oil, Gas & Consumable Fuels	2.3	0.5
Wabtec	Machinery	2.0	0.1
Gilead Sciences	Biotechnology	1.8	0.4
Chevron	Oil, Gas & Consumable Fuels	1.8	1.3
Procter & Gamble	Household Products	1.8	1.1

## Top 5 Over/Underweight Positions vs. Russell 1000 Value Index

Issuer	Industry	% of Trust	% of Benchmark	Over/Underweight (%)
Keysight Technologies	Electronic Equip, Instr & Cmpts	3.2	0.2	3.0
Deere	Machinery	2.6	0.5	2.1
Wabtec	Machinery	2.0	0.1	1.8
ConocoPhillips	Oil, Gas & Consumable Fuels	2.3	0.5	1.8
AMETEK	Electrical Equipment	1.7	0.2	1.5
Wal-Mart	Consumer Staples Distribution & Retail	0.0	1.6	-1.6
ExxonMobil	Oil, Gas & Consumable Fuels	1.0	2.4	-1.4
Amazon.com	Broadline Retail	0.5	1.8	-1.3
Micron Technology	Semicons & Semicon Equip	0.0	1.2	-1.2
RTX	Aerospace & Defense	0.0	0.9	-0.9

## Portfolio Management

	Managed Since	Joined Firm
Ryan Hedrick	2023	2013

## Additional Disclosures

Visit [Troweprice.com/glossary](https://www.troweprice.com/glossary) for a glossary of financial terminology.

FTSE/Russell, MSCI, and MSCI/S&P do not accept any liability for any errors or omissions in the indexes or data, and hereby expressly disclaim all warranties of originality, accuracy, completeness, timeliness, merchantability, and fitness for a particular purpose. No party may rely on any indexes or data contained in this communication. Visit [Troweprice.com/marketdata](https://www.troweprice.com/marketdata) for additional legal notices & disclaimers.

The T. Rowe Price U.S. Value Equity Trust (the "Trust") is not a mutual fund, rather the Trust is operated and maintained so as to qualify for exemption from registration as a mutual fund pursuant to Section 3(c)(11) of the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended. The Trust is established by T. Rowe Price Trust Company under Maryland banking law, and its units are exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933. Investments in the Trust are not deposits or obligations of, or guaranteed by, the U.S. government or its agencies or T. Rowe Price Trust Company and are subject to investment risks, including possible loss of principal.

Portfolio holdings in this report are presented gross of any non-reclaimable withholding tax. Any non-reclaimable withholding tax is included in position market values. Portfolio diversification data is calculated net of any non-reclaimable withholding tax. Any non-reclaimable tax withheld is not reflected in category market values.

Trust Assets, holdings-based analytics (excluding portfolio turnover), and portfolio attribution are calculated using T. Rowe Price's internal Investment Book of Records (IBOR). Due to timing and accounting methodology differences, IBOR data may differ from the Accounting Book of Records (ABOR) data provided by the Trust's accountant.

Unless otherwise noted, index returns are shown with gross dividends reinvested.

T. Rowe Price uses the current MSCI/S&P Global Industry Classification Standard (GICS) for sector and industry reporting.

Diversification exhibits may not add to 100% due to exclusion or inclusion of cash.

Certain numbers in this report may not equal stated totals due to rounding. Unless otherwise stated, data is as of the report date.

Unless indicated otherwise the source of all data is T. Rowe Price.

The specific securities identified and described do not represent all of the securities purchased, sold, or recommended for the portfolio, and no assumptions should be made that investments in the securities identified and discussed were or will be profitable.

The manager's views and portfolio holdings are historical and subject to change. This material should not be deemed a recommendation to buy or sell any of the securities mentioned.

This material has been prepared for informational purposes only. The views and opinions stated in this commentary are those of the portfolio managers listed as of the date indicated. These views and opinions are subject to change based on market or other conditions and may differ from those of other T. Rowe Price associates. Actual market and investment results may differ materially from expectations.

© 2026 T. Rowe Price. All Rights Reserved. T. ROWE PRICE, INVEST WITH CONFIDENCE, the Bighorn Sheep design, and related indicators (see [troweprice.com/ip](https://www.troweprice.com/ip)) are trademarks of T. Rowe Price Group, Inc. All other trademarks are the property of their respective owners. Use does not imply endorsement, sponsorship, or affiliation of T. Rowe Price with any of the trademark owners.

202604-5356565

## GIPS® Composite Report

## US Value Equity Composite

Period Ended December 31, 2024

Figures Shown in U.S. dollar

	<u>2015</u>	<u>2016</u>	<u>2017</u>	<u>2018</u>	<u>2019</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>2021</u>	<u>2022</u>	<u>2023</u>	<u>2024</u>
Gross Annual Returns (%)	-0.96	11.84	19.84	-8.75	27.16	11.29	30.78	-10.77	12.88	15.73
Net Annual Returns (%) <sup>1</sup>	-2.01	10.66	18.58	-9.73	25.83	10.11	29.41	-11.73	11.69	14.52
Benchmark (%) <sup>2</sup>	1.38	11.96	21.83	-5.56	26.54	2.80	25.16	-7.54	11.46	14.37
Russell 1000 Value Index (%) <sup>2</sup>	-3.83	17.34	13.66	-8.27	26.54	2.80	25.16	-7.54	11.46	14.37
Russell 1000 Value Net 30% Index (%) <sup>2</sup>	-4.53	16.44	12.85	-8.94	25.56	2.01	24.42	-8.15	10.68	13.65
Composite 3-Yr St. Dev.	11.01	10.75	10.27	10.31	10.86	18.41	18.14	20.39	15.52	15.13
Benchmark 3-Yr St. Dev.	10.47	10.59	9.92	10.51	11.79	19.66	19.06	21.25	16.51	16.66
Russell 1000 Value Index 3-Yr St. Dev.	10.68	10.77	10.20	10.82	11.85	19.62	19.06	21.25	16.51	16.66
Russell 1000 Value Net 30% Index 3-Yr St. Dev.	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	16.65
Composite Dispersion	N/A	0.02	0.05	0.03	0.02	0.07	0.11	0.03	0.05	0.10
Comp. Assets (Millions)	30,683.4	33,420.5	40,234.1	35,587.6	45,921.8	58,333.9	76,762.8	58,242.0	61,114.9	68,289.8
# of Accts. in Comp.	6	7	7	7	8	8	9	10	10	9
Total Firm Assets (Billions)	772.4	817.2	1,000.2	972.7	1,218.2	1,482.5	1,653.6	1,237.4	1,403.8	1,561.6 <sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>The fee rate used to calculate net returns is 1.07%. This represents the maximum fee rate applicable to all composite members. **Past performance is no guarantee or reliable indicator of future results.**

<sup>2</sup>Effective March 1, 2018, the benchmark for the composite changed to Russell 1000 Value Index. Prior to this change, the primary benchmark for the composite was the S&P 500 Index and secondary benchmark was Russell 1000 Value Index. The changes were made because the firm viewed the new benchmark to be a better representation of the investment strategy of the composite. Historical benchmark representations have not been restated.

<sup>3</sup>Preliminary - subject to adjustment.

T. Rowe Price (TRP) claims compliance with the Global Investment Performance Standards (GIPS®) and has prepared and presented this report in compliance with the GIPS standards. TRP has been independently verified for the 27-year period ended December 31, 2023 by KPMG LLP. The verification report is available upon request. A firm that claims compliance with the GIPS standards must establish policies and procedures for complying with all the applicable requirements of the GIPS standards. Verification provides assurance on whether the firm's policies and procedures related to composite and pooled fund maintenance, as well as the calculation, presentation, and distribution of performance, have been designed in compliance with the GIPS standards and have been implemented on a firm-wide basis. Verification does not provide assurance on the accuracy of any specific performance report.

TRP is a U.S. investment management firm with various investment advisers registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, the U.K. Financial Conduct Authority, and other regulatory bodies in various countries and holds itself out as such to potential clients for GIPS purposes. TRP further defines itself under GIPS as a discretionary investment manager providing services primarily to institutional clients with regard to various mandates, which include U.S., international, and global strategies but excluding the services of the Private Asset Management group. As of October 1, 2022, there is no minimum asset level for portfolio inclusion into the composite. Prior to October 2022, the minimum asset level for equity portfolios to be included in composites was \$5 million. The minimum asset level for fixed income and asset allocation portfolios to be included in composites was \$10 million. Valuations are computed and performance reported in U.S. dollars.

Gross performance returns are presented before management and all other fees, where applicable, but after trading expenses. Net of fees performance reflects the deduction of the maximum fee rate applicable to all composite members as shown above. Gross and net performance returns reflect the reinvestment of dividends and are net of nonreclaimable withholding taxes on dividends, interest income, and capital gains. Effective June 30, 2013, portfolio valuation and assets under management are calculated based on the closing price of the security in its respective market. Previously portfolios holding international securities may have been adjusted for after-market events. Gross performance returns are used to calculate presented risk measures. Policies for valuing portfolios, calculating performance, and preparing GIPS Reports are available upon request. Dispersion is measured by the standard deviation across asset-weighted portfolio returns represented within a composite for the full year. Dispersion is not calculated for the composites in which there are five or fewer portfolios.

Some portfolios may trade futures, options, and other potentially high-risk derivatives that may create leverage and generally represent in aggregate less than 10% of a portfolio.

Benchmarks are taken from published sources and may have different calculation methodologies, pricing times, and foreign exchange sources from the composite.

Prior to April 1, 2024, composite policy required the temporary removal of any portfolio incurring a client initiated significant cash inflow or outflow greater than or equal to 10% of portfolio assets. The temporary removal of such an account occurred at the beginning of the measurement period in which the significant cash flow occurred and the account re-entered the composite on the last day of the current month after the cash flow. Effective April 1, 2024, the Significant Cash Flow Policy is no longer applied. Additional information regarding the treatment of significant cash flows is available upon request.

The firm's list of composite descriptions, a list of limited distribution pooled fund descriptions, and a list of broad distribution pooled funds are available upon request. GIPS® is a registered trademark of CFA Institute. CFA Institute does not endorse or promote this organization, nor does it warrant the accuracy or quality of the content contained herein.

**US Value Equity Composite.** The US Value Equity Composite seeks long-term capital appreciation by investing primarily in common stocks believed to be undervalued. Income is a secondary objective. (Created June 2006; inception December 31, 1995)

**Fee Schedule**

First 50 million USD 52 basis points

Above 50 million USD 50 basis points on all assets <sup>1</sup>

Above 100 million USD 45 basis points on all assets <sup>1</sup>

Above 200 million USD 40 basis points on all assets <sup>1</sup>

Minimum trust account size 10 million USD.

Expense ratio is equal to the trustee fee plus additional expenses (if any) that may be incurred by the trust.

<sup>1</sup> Basis points are applicable on all assets, and a transitional credit is applied as assets approach or fall below the specified asset levels. Extended breakpoints may be available for higher asset levels.