



Is Helicopter Money on Its Way?

The rise of populism may eventually lead to unconventional monetary policies.

October 2019

There is a view that central banks do not have the tools to respond effectively to the next economic slowdown, and that radical new measures may be required. This has reignited talk about “helicopter money,” through which a central bank, at the behest of its government, makes a one-off, permanent injection of cash into the economy. But how does helicopter money differ from the measures already taken under quantitative easing (QE), and what would helicopter money imply for central bank independence?

Helicopter money and QE have something in common in that they both rely on central bank “money printing.” But this is where the similarities end. Under QE, the central bank purchases assets, government-issued or otherwise, to push down interest rates. In doing so, it expands both its assets and its liabilities. The decision to pursue a QE strategy is made by the central bank independent of the government’s fiscal policies.

Helicopter money is different in that it is simultaneously a fiscal and a monetary operation. Under helicopter money, the central bank prints money and donates the newly created funds, free of charge, to the population. That is, it expands its liabilities, but it does not acquire any assets. Consequently, under helicopter



Nikolaj Schmidt
Chief International Economist

money the central bank’s equity position is eroded and it loses operational independence as the money printing exercise is driven by politicians.

In most developed economies, it is generally accepted that central banks should remain independent to prevent monetary policy from falling victim to the electoral cycle—in other words, to stop politicians from trying to win elections by making short-term decisions that have negative consequences later.

The times, however, are changing. The rapid growth of populist movements in many countries suggest that large numbers of people are not happy with the way their economies are run, and, in particular, do not see how central bank independence has any tangible benefit for them. In response, some populist politicians have argued that central bank balance sheets should be put to work to provide tangible goods for the people

“Some populist politicians have argued that central bank balance sheets should be put to work to provide tangible goods for the people...”

“
Nobody has demonstrated that delegating monetary policy to elected politicians will bring about better economic outcomes.

in the form of fiscal handouts. Modern Monetary Theory, which holds that governments should use fiscal policy to generate full employment, is one variation on this line of thought. What seemed completely unthinkable not too long ago is beginning to gain traction.

It remains some way from becoming reality. Nobody has yet demonstrated that delegating monetary policy to elected politicians will bring about better economic outcomes than the current method of allowing central banks to

manage it. As such, there is currently very little support for helicopter money among central bankers and academics. However, we need to acknowledge that popular sentiment is changing and that changes in popular sentiment may eventually lead to changes in policy. The rise of populism and the gradual erosion of central bank independence—if it continues—mean that the environment we live in is probably more conducive to helicopter money than it was just a few years ago.

INVEST WITH CONFIDENCE®

T. Rowe Price focuses on delivering investment management excellence that investors can rely on—now and over the long term.

To learn more, please visit troweprice.com.

T.RowePrice®

Important Information

This material is provided for informational purposes only and is not intended to be investment advice or a recommendation to take any particular investment action. The views contained herein are those of the authors as of October 2019 and are subject to change without notice; these views may differ from those of other T. Rowe Price associates.

This information is not intended to reflect a current or past recommendation, investment advice of any kind, or a solicitation of an offer to buy or sell any securities or investment services. The opinions and commentary provided do not take into account the investment objectives or financial situation of any particular investor or class of investor. Investors will need to consider their own circumstances before making an investment decision.

Information contained herein is based upon sources we consider to be reliable; we do not, however, guarantee its accuracy.

Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance. All investments are subject to market risk, including the possible loss of principal. All charts and tables are shown for illustrative purposes only.

T. Rowe Price Investment Services, Inc.

© 2019 T. Rowe Price. All rights reserved. T. Rowe Price, INVEST WITH CONFIDENCE, and the Bighorn Sheep design are, collectively and/or apart, trademarks of T. Rowe Price Group, Inc.