



PRICE POINT

September 2018

Timely intelligence and analysis for our clients.



Yoram Lustig, CFA Head of Multi-Asset Solutions, EMEA

Asset Allocation

THE FUTURE OF EMERGING MARKETS DEBT: IT'S IN THE BLEND

KEY POINTS

- For clients who are seeking more consistent excess returns over time, we sometimes explore different combinations of hard-currency EM government debt and corporates, together with EM local currency debt.
- Excess returns from different portfolio managers can be weakly correlated, so combining funds and strategies can result in more consistent alpha, generated across different economic regimes.

Emerging markets debt is not a homogeneous asset class, and this is something that asset allocators can use to their advantage. For clients who are seeking more consistent alpha over time, we sometimes explore different combinations of hard-currency EM government debt (EMH), hard-currency EM corporates (EMC) and EM local currency debt (EML). Here we'll give a simple overview of some of the considerations.

Because EMH, EMC and EML are driven by different risk factors, and are therefore imperfectly correlated, it makes sense to blend them in structural asset allocation. In terms of tactical allocation, because of their different return patterns, they may offer opportunities for adding value via dynamic rotation.

How much of each emd sector to include? Over the last 15 years, EMH has outperformed EMC and EML. So, based solely on past returns, it's difficult to justify adding corporates and local debt to EMH. But returns are cyclical, and when designing a blended strategy one needs to look at future return expectations rather than historical performance. For example, EM local currency returns have been muted by a persistently strong dollar in recent years but, by the same token, a change in the dollar's fortunes would be positive for the sector. (The J.P. Morgan GBI-EM Global Diversified index has shown an average correlation of about -0.7 with the US dollar since 2003.)

From a diversification perspective, as shown in the table, at times EMC and EML have outperformed EMH, and the three sectors have historically been imperfectly correlated. For example, since 2005 the rolling 36-month correlation between EMC and EML has fluctuated between roughly 0.39 and 0.85. The correlation between EMH and EML has ranged between about 0.52 and 0.85.

Leadership Among EM Debt Sectors Rotates

Calendar Year Performance of EM Hard Currency, Corporate and Local Currency Debt (%)

EMH	EML	EMH	EML	EML	EML	EMC	EML	EMH	EML	EMC	EMH	EMC	EMC	EML	EMC
22.2	20.5	10.3	16.1	17.7	-4.6	37.5	13.3	7.3	21.5	-1.3	7.4	1.2	10.8	15.5	-1.5
EML	EMH	EMC	EMH	EMH	EMH	EMH	EMC	EMC	EMH	EMH	EMC	EMH	EMH	EMH	EMH
17.4	11.6	6.3	9.9	6.2	-12.0	29.8	12.5	3.0	17.4	-5.2	3.6	1.2	10.2	10.2	-2.8
EMC	EMC	EML	EMC	EMC	EMC	EML	EMH	EML	EMC	EML	EML	EML	EML	EMC	EML
15.7	10.3	2.9	6.5	3.9	-16.8	20.4	12.2	-3.0	15.2	-6.3	-8.5	-12.6	9.8	8.0	-6.2
03	04	05	06	07	80	09	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	YTD

Period January 2003 to July 2018 **Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.**Sources: T. Rowe Price and Bloomberg Index Services, Inc. January 2003 through May 2018. Returns measured in US dollar. EMH: JP Morgan EMBI Global TR, EMC: JPM CEMBI Broad Diversified, EML: JPM GBI-EM Global Diversified.

For example, since 2005 the rolling 36-month correlation between EMC and EML has fluctuated between roughly 0.39 and 0.85. The correlation between EMH and EML has ranged between about 0.52 and 0.85.

As a simple exercise, we looked at three hypothetical strategic allocations blending EM debt sectors:

- 1. Lower risk: 60:30:10 EMH:EMC:EML
- 2. Medium risk: 33:33:33 EMH:EMC:EML
- Higher risk: 15:15:70 EMH:EMC:EML

Based on index-level data (in other words with no alpha from active management) over 12-month rolling time periods from January 2003 to May 2018, we found that:

- The lower-risk portfolio would have been the top performer 50% of the time
- Medium risk would have been the top performer 5% of the time
- Higher risk would have been the top performer 45% of the time

Blending EMD can also achieve diversification of alpha sources. While outperformance by EM debt sectors tends to follow a cyclical pattern, actively managed funds also go through cycles, and these cycles of beta and alpha outperformance are not necessarily synchronized. For example, a manager that emphasizes risk management may add the most alpha when the market is falling and underperform when the market is rising.

Alphas from different portfolio managers can be weakly correlated (they don't all deliver alpha at the same time) so combining funds and strategies can result in more consistent alpha, generated across different economic regimes.

How does this work in practice? One way to approach the problem is to start with a long-term strategic allocation, defining desired neutral allocations to EMH, EMC and EML. Having an anchor allocation to each asset class can help minimise the impact of market timing. If desired, this can form the composite benchmark for the EMD blend portfolio.

The next source of value added is to express tactical asset allocation views using dynamic rotation across EMH/EMC/EML. The third, and in some cases most important, source of value added is at the security selection level, in the form of individual portfolio managers' idiosyncratic bottom-up research.

INVEST WITH CONFIDENCE®

T. Rowe Price focuses on delivering investment management excellence that investors can rely on—now and over the long term.

To learn more, please visit troweprice.com.

Important Information

This material is being furnished for general informational purposes only. The material does not constitute or undertake to give advice of any nature, including fiduciary investment advice, and prospective investors are recommended to seek independent legal, financial and tax advice before making any investment decision. T. Rowe Price group of companies including T. Rowe Price Associates, Inc. and/or its affiliates receive revenue from T. Rowe Price investment products and services. **Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.** The value of an investment and any income from it can go down as well as up. Investors may get back less than the amount invested.

The material does not constitute a distribution, an offer, an invitation, a personal or general recommendation or solicitation to sell or buy any securities in any jurisdiction or to conduct any particular investment activity. The material has not been reviewed by any regulatory authority in any jurisdiction.

Information and opinions presented have been obtained or derived from sources believed to be reliable and current; however, we cannot guarantee the sources' accuracy or completeness. There is no guarantee that any forecasts made will come to pass. The views contained herein are as of the date noted on the material and are subject to change without notice; these views may differ from those of other T. Rowe Price group companies and/or associates. Under no circumstances should the material, in whole or in part, be copied or redistributed without consent from T. Rowe Price.

The material is not intended for use by persons in jurisdictions which prohibit or restrict the distribution of the material and in certain countries the material is provided upon specific request.

It is not intended for distribution to retail investors in any jurisdiction.

DIFC—Issued in the Dubai International Financial Centre by T. Rowe Price International Ltd. This material is communicated on behalf of T. Rowe Price International Ltd. by its representative office which is regulated by the Dubai Financial Services Authority. For Professional Clients only.

EEA—Issued in the European Economic Area by T. Rowe Price International Ltd., 60 Queen Victoria Street, London EC4N 4TZ which is authorised and regulated by the UK Financial Conduct Authority. For Professional Clients only.

Switzerland—Issued in Switzerland by T. Rowe Price (Switzerland) GmbH, Talstrasse 65, 6th Floor, 8001 Zurich, Switzerland. For Qualified Investors only.

T. ROWE PRICE, INVEST WITH CONFIDENCE and the Bighorn Sheep design are, collectively and/or apart, trademarks or registered trademarks of T. Rowe Price Group, Inc. All rights reserved.

201808-587341