

# Assessing the potential impacts on U.S. health care in an election year



From the Field  
August 2024

## Key Insights

- While health care historically has been one of the hottest political potatoes in the lead-up to a U.S. presidential election, discussions this campaign have been more subdued.
- Perhaps the biggest issue at stake is in relation to the future of the Affordable Care Act, aka Obamacare, with potentially binary impacts depending on the election outcome.
- Both leading candidates have voiced concerns about rampant health care cost inflation, and each appears committed to reducing the high cost of prescription drugs.




**Jill Jortner**  
Health Care Sector  
Investment Analyst,  
T. Rowe Price

**T**he 2024 U.S. presidential election campaign has been noteworthy for the lack of big-ticket issues or policies from either leading candidate. Health care, for example, historically has been one of the hottest of all political potatoes during election years, given the scope for impactful change, but it has featured much less prominently in either candidate's campaigning in this election cycle. This low-key focus has been less disruptive for the health care sector than in recent election year campaigns, a fact duly reflected on the markets, with the sector posting positive returns in 2024 to date, albeit trailing the broader equity market's rally.

**“**Health care, for example, historically has been one of the hottest of all political potatoes during election years....

## Health care returns have been inconsistent in election years

(Fig. 1) Annual relative returns in U.S. election years (S&P health care sector vs. S&P 500)



As of August 6, 2024. Annual total returns.

**Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.**

Index performance is for illustrative purposes only and is not indicative of any specific investment.

Investors cannot invest directly in an index.

<sup>1</sup> Year to date as of August 6, 2024.

Source: Refinitiv Eikon. © 2024 Refinitiv. All rights reserved. Analysis by T. Rowe Price.

By way of comparison, recent election years show the health care sector performing inconsistently, delivering an array of negative and positive annual returns in different election years (Fig. 1). This erratic track record has much to do with how central a topic health care has been in each election campaign and how significant the proposed changes to the U.S. health care system have been in the lead-up to each election. One only needs to look back to the 2019–2020 campaign trail, when Democrat candidates proposed wholesale change in the form of “Medicare for All,” a single-payer health system, to understand the pivotal role that health care can play in an election campaign.

With health care not being central to either candidate’s campaign talking points in 2024, the biggest impact on the sector is likely to come from which candidate ultimately wins and what this might mean for key health care issues. In this paper, we delve deeper into some of these contentious health care areas and consider the potential impacts depending upon who is elected come November.

“ One of the most divisive—and decisive—  
areas of U.S. health care is the managed  
care industry....

### U.S. managed care could see binary impacts

One of the most divisive—and decisive—areas of U.S. health care is the managed care industry, where ideology sees each candidate diametrically opposed in their view of, and approach to, providing health care to the American population.

Drilling down into the broad managed care landscape, we see four key topics that are likely to be central areas of focus for both candidates but that could see very different impacts, depending on who is ultimately elected the next U.S. president. We consider each of these below, from both sides of the political aisle.



## If Kamala Harris is elected:

### 1. The Affordable Care Act

During his term of office, President Biden went to great lengths to strengthen the Affordable Care Act (ACA)—also known as Obamacare—and expand affordable health care coverage to more Americans. President Biden meaningfully strengthened the ACA exchanges, which allow individuals to shop for subsidised health insurance plans, both through the introduction of enhanced subsidies in 2021, and increasing the income levels eligible for subsidy. An increase in marketing spending also aided ACA exchange awareness. During the most recent 2024 enrollment period, some 21 million Americans signed up for health insurance coverage through ACA exchanges—the highest-ever total—and a massive increase from 11.4 million members in 2020.<sup>1</sup>

With the expanded subsidies set to sunset in 2025, we believe Kamala Harris will be keen to try and make these subsidies permanent, should she win the presidency in November. In our view, the main beneficiaries of an extension of the subsidies and continued growth in the ACA exchange enrollment will be managed care companies with sizable exchange businesses, along with providers who have seen incremental volume growth and improved payer mix associated with the increasing exchange membership.

### 2. Medicare

Under a Kamala Harris administration, we think it's likely that Medicare—the government-funded health plan primarily for older Americans—will see a continuation of the status quo. While not expected at the time of the 2020 election, President Biden and the Democratic administrators at Centers for Medicare and Medicaid, which sets Medicare policy and rates, put forth policies that have ultimately proved much more onerous than expected for the Medicare Advantage (MA) industry.

Many of the adjustments made to the Medicare program have created new headwinds to profitability for Medicare plans. These have included meaningful changes to the “risk model,” which MA plans use to determine individual beneficiary payment rates, and much more modest Medicare Advantage rate updates than the industry received during the Trump administration. While the election of Kamala Harris should be a continuation of the status quo, the last two rate-setting cycles have created challenges for the MA industry, and as such, we believe the industry would welcome a change in administration.

### 3. Hospitals and health services

U.S. hospitals have been meaningful beneficiaries of the expanded ACA subsidies introduced under the Biden administration, driving increased patient volumes coming from newly insured ACA enrollees. Since commercial insurance typically reimburses hospitals at higher rates than government programs, such as Medicare and Medicaid, and most certainly relative to uninsured patients, the growth in ACA-insured patients in hospitals has also contributed to higher profit margins for hospitals. A likely continuation of the ACA subsidies under a new Harris administration would see this trend continue.

Less positively, during his time in office President Biden attempted to control consolidation in the hospital subsector, including banning several planned mergers. While hospital mergers are ideally supposed to create cost efficiencies among often struggling hospitals, the creation of huge conglomerates and hospital networks has driven up U.S. medical costs, with some boasting near-monopoly pricing power. In the absence of any (publicly-stated) differing views from Kamala Harris, it is reasonable to expect this anti-consolidation stance will continue—at least in the near term—should she be elected.

### 4. Drug pricing

The main uncertainty in relation to drug pricing revolves around legislation introduced under the Inflation Reduction Act (IRA) giving Medicare (under Part D of the program, which covers drug prescriptions and subsidies available to plan members) the power for the first time to negotiate drug prices with manufacturers. The negotiations began in August 2023, with 10 drugs selected, and older Americans are already seeing lower out-of-pocket costs.

By the time the redesign of Part D is fully implemented in late 2025, it is expected that pharmaceutical companies will be picking up a considerably larger portion of U.S. prescription drug costs than has previously been the case. If elected, Kamala Harris would likely continue to push through measures introduced under the IRA, potentially expanding the number of drugs eligible for price negotiation. It is also worth noting that, in December 2023, the Biden administration announced new plans to boost competition in the pharmaceutical sector, while ensuring lower prescription drug costs.

<sup>1</sup> KFF—independent provider of U.S. health policy polling and research. As of January 24, 2024.



## If Donald Trump is elected:

### 1. The Affordable Care Act

Former President Trump has resumed his criticism of the ACA this campaign, and vowed to repeal and replace the legislation if he is reelected. While a full repeal and replace is highly unlikely, having failed during President Trump's prior term, if reelected, President Trump could embark on efforts to weaken key provisions of the ACA.

One key element of the ACA that a Trump victory could impact would be the expanded ACA subsidies that are set to expire at the end of 2025 and would require legislative action to be extended. Other levers available to President Trump, if elected, would be to scale back on ACA marketing investments and, on the Medicaid side, increasing the power of states to restrict eligibility to Medicaid via work requirements and other measures.

### 2. Medicare

A reelection of former President Trump would likely be more positive for the MA program, as his actions in his first presidential term served to strengthen funding for MA and increase the penetration relative to traditional fee-for-service Medicare. While a Trump reelection would be a "net win" for the Medicare plans, it is not known if President Trump would be as supportive of MA in a second term as he was in his first due to increasing rhetoric in Washington on both sides of the political aisle around overpayments to Medicare Advantage plans relative to fee for service.

### 3. Hospitals and health services

There is concern among investors in hospitals that a Trump administration is unlikely to extend the expanded ACA subsidies once they expire in 2025. These subsidies have driven a sharp increase in ACA enrollment, which has led to an increase in hospital admissions and provided a boost to hospital profitability in recent years.

That said, there is one significant consideration that may give former President Trump reason for pause, namely the fact that the constituents in the key Republican states of Texas and Florida have been huge beneficiaries of the expanded ACA subsidies with ACA enrollment up 120% in Florida and 210% in Texas since 2020. The Trump administration would have to consider both the impact on constituents (voters) in these states, with 4.6 million individuals gaining coverage since 2020 in these two states, as well as the locally important hospital industry. The publicly traded for-profit hospital companies are highly exposed to Texas and Florida, where the most growth in ACA exchange enrollment has been seen.

State hospitals, which are also large employers in their local markets; state governments; and, importantly, local voters, have all benefited to varying degrees. So, cutting off the current expanded ACA subsidies completely in 2025 may be easier said than done.

### 4. Drug pricing

Drug pricing has not featured as prominently as it has in previous election campaigns; however, the high cost of prescription medicine remains an important issue on both sides of the political spectrum. Both candidates have voiced a desire to rein in health care inflation and reduce drug prices, albeit via different means. During his time in office, President Trump floated the idea of implementing a "Favored Nation Model," whereby Medicare Part B prescription drug prices would be pegged to the lowest price paid by other developed nations. While the proposal was ultimately blocked, it highlights a clear commitment to act on what is a key issue for American voters.

Whether or not a new Trump administration would continue with the price negotiation powers granted to Medicare under the IRA remains to be seen. To date, President Trump has said little on this, nor has he proposed any alternative policies aimed at reducing drug prices. Suffice it to say that, previously, President Trump has not been a particular friend to "big pharma". So, in our view, a return to office is unlikely to be more favorable than a Harris administration for the sector, and possibly much less so.

## Health care spending will continue to rise, but beneficiaries will differ

Whoever ultimately is elected the next U.S. president in November—be it Harris or Trump—we would expect health care spending to continue to rise. Even though both candidates have voiced a desire to bring down medical inflation, and specifically to rein in high drug prices, total spending will continue to be driven higher by patient demand, an aging population, and the cost of medical services and innovation.

Our expectation, should Kamala Harris win, is for total health care expenditure to increase, with public spending outpacing private. An early budgetary port of call for a new Harris administration is likely to be an extension to the expanded ACA subsidies. In contrast, if a Trump administration is reelected, we anticipate that he will move to reduce or eliminate the expanded federal subsidies, as well as reduce funding for several health programs, including Medicaid. While any attempts to overturn Obamacare fully are unlikely to succeed, weakening the ACA could ultimately see higher costs and reduced coverage for lower-income Americans.

In the event of a Trump victory, we would anticipate increased private health expenditure, at the expense of public programs, while pharmaceutical companies could also be better off, if a new Trump administration were to revoke Medicare's power to negotiate drug prices directly.

T. Rowe Price cautions that economic estimates and forward-looking statements are subject to numerous assumptions, risks, and uncertainties, which change over time. Actual outcomes could differ materially from those anticipated in estimates and forward-looking statements, and future results could differ materially from historical performance. The information presented herein is shown for illustrative, informational purposes only. Any historical data used as a basis for analysis are based on information gathered by T. Rowe Price and from third-party sources and have not been verified. Forecasts are based on subjective estimates about market environments that may never occur. Any forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made. T. Rowe Price assumes no duty to, and does not undertake to, update forward-looking statements.

#### INVEST WITH CONFIDENCE™

T. Rowe Price identifies and actively invests in opportunities to help people thrive in an evolving world, bringing our dynamic perspective and meaningful partnership to clients so they can feel more confident.

---

#### Important Information

**This material is being furnished for general informational purposes only.** The material does not constitute or undertake to give advice of any nature, including fiduciary investment advice, nor is it intended to serve as the primary basis for an investment decision.

Prospective investors are recommended to seek independent legal, financial and tax advice before making any investment decision.

T. Rowe Price group of companies including T. Rowe Price Associates, Inc. and/or its affiliates receive revenue from T. Rowe Price investment products and services. **Past performance is not a reliable indicator of future performance.** The value of an investment and any income from it can go down as well as up. Investors may get back less than the amount invested.

The material does not constitute a distribution, an offer, an invitation, a personal or general recommendation or solicitation to sell or buy any securities in any jurisdiction or to conduct any particular investment activity. The material has not been reviewed by any regulatory authority in any jurisdiction.

Information and opinions presented have been obtained or derived from sources believed to be reliable and current; however, we cannot guarantee the sources' accuracy or completeness. There is no guarantee that any forecasts made will come to pass. The views contained herein are as of the date written and are subject to change without notice; these views may differ from those of other T. Rowe Price group companies and/or associates. Under no circumstances should the material, in whole or in part, be copied or redistributed without consent from T. Rowe Price.

The material is not intended for use by persons in jurisdictions which prohibit or restrict the distribution of the material and in certain countries the material is provided upon specific request.

**Hong Kong**—Issued by T. Rowe Price Hong Kong Limited, 6/F, Chater House, 8 Connaught Road Central, Hong Kong. T. Rowe Price Hong Kong Limited is licensed and regulated by the Securities & Futures Commission ("SFC"). This material has not been reviewed by the SFC.

**Singapore**—Issued by T. Rowe Price Singapore Private Ltd. (UEN: 201021137E), 501 Orchard Rd, #10-02 Wheelock Place, Singapore 238880. T. Rowe Price Singapore Private Ltd. is licensed and regulated by the Monetary Authority of Singapore. **This advertisement has not been reviewed by the Monetary Authority of Singapore.**

© 2024 T. Rowe Price. All Rights Reserved. T. ROWE PRICE, INVEST WITH CONFIDENCE, and the Bighorn Sheep design are, collectively and/or apart, trademarks of T. Rowe Price Group, Inc.